THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Advisers to the Nation on Science, Engineering, and Medicine
Division on Engineering and Physical Sciences
Aeronautics and Space Engineering Board

aangleman@nas.edu ksmith@nas.edu www.nap.edu/catalog/11664.html June 2006

DECADAL SURVEY OF CIVIL AERONAUTICS Foundation for the Future

The U.S. air transportation system is a key contributor to the economic vitality, public well-being, and national security of the United States. This Decadal Survey of Civil Aeronautics presents a decadal strategy for the federal government's involvement in civil aeronautics, with a particular emphasis on the NASA's research portfolio. A modified quality function deployment (QFD) process was used to identify and rank Research and Technology (R&T) Challenges in relation to their potential to improve the air transportation system by achieving four high-priority strategic objectives:

- Increase capacity.
- Improve safety and reliability.
- Increase efficiency and performance.
- Reduce energy consumption and environmental impact.

The prioritization process also considered two lower-priority strategic objectives:

- Take advantage of synergies with national and homeland security.
- Support the space program.

That process produced a list of 51 high-priority challenges that must be overcome to further the state of the art (see Table 1).

In order to achieve the above objectives, the committee makes the following eight recommendations:

- 1. NASA should use the 51 Challenges listed in Table 1 as the foundation for the future of NASA's civil aeronautics research program during the next decade.
- 2. The U.S. government should place a high priority on establishing a *stable* aeronautics R&T plan, with the expectation that the plan will receive sustained funding for a decade or more, as necessary, for activities that are demonstrating satisfactory progress.
- 3. NASA should use five Common Themes to make the most efficient use of civil aeronautics R&T resources:
 - Physics-based analysis tools to enable analytical capabilities that go far beyond existing modeling and simulation capabilities and reduce the use of empirical approaches.
 - Multidisciplinary design tools to integrate highfidelity analyses with efficient design methods and to accommodate uncertainty, multiple objectives, and largescale systems.
 - Advanced configurations to go beyond the ability of conventional technologies and aircraft to achieve the strategic objectives.
 - Intelligent and adaptive systems to significantly improve the performance and robustness of aircraft and the air transportation system as a whole.
 - Complex interactive systems to better understand the nature of and options for improving the performance of

the air transportation system, which is itself a complex interactive system.

- 4. NASA should support fundamental research to create the foundations for practical certification standards for new technologies.
- 5. The U.S. government should align organizational responsibilities as well as develop and implement techniques to improve change management for federal agencies and to assure a safe and cost-effective transition to the air transportation system of the future.
- 6. NASA should ensure that its civil aeronautics R&T plan features the substantive involvement of universities and industry, including a more balanced allocation of funding between in-house and external organizations than currently exists.
- 7. NASA should consult with non-NASA researchers to identify the most effective facilities and tools applicable to key aeronautics R&T projects and should facilitate collaborative research to ensure that each project has access to the most appropriate research capabilities, including test facilities; computational models and facilities; and intellectual capital, available from NASA, the Federal Aviation Administration, the Department of Defense, and other interested research organizations in government, industry, and academia.
- 8. The U.S. government should conduct a high-level review of organizational options for ensuring U.S. leadership in civil aeronautics.

A11. Robust and efficient multidisciplinary design tools

| | | | | E |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | Intelligent and Autonomous Systems, |
| | | | D | Operations and Decision Making, |
| A | В | C | Dynamics, Navigation, and | Human Integrated Systems, |
| Aerodynamics and Aeroacoustics | Propulsion and Power | Materials and Structures | Control, and Avionics | Networking and Communications |
| | | | | |
| A1. Integrated system | B1a. Quiet propulsion | C1. Integrated vehicle | D1. Advanced guidance | E1. Methodologies, tools, and |
| performance through novel | systems | health management | systems | simulation and modeling capabilities |
| propulsion-airframe integration | B1b. Ultraclean gas turbine | C2. Adaptive materials | D2. Distributed decision | to design and evaluate complex |
| A2. Aerodynamic performance | combustors to reduce gaseous | and morphing structures | making, decision making under | interactive systems |
| improvement through transition, | and particulate emissions in | C3. Multidisciplinary | uncertainty, and flight path | E2. New concepts and methods of |
| boundary layer, and separation | all flight segments | analysis, design, and | planning and prediction | separating, spacing, and sequencing |
| control | B3. Intelligent engines and | optimization | D3. Aerodynamics and | aircraft |
| A3. Novel aerodynamic | mechanical power systems | C4. Next-generation | vehicle dynamics via closed- | E3. Appropriate roles of humans and |
| configurations that enable high | capable of self-diagnosis and | polymers and composites | loop flow control | automated systems for separation |
| performance and/or flexible multi- mission aircraft | reconfiguration between shop visits | C5. Noise prediction | D4. Intelligent and adaptive flight control techniques | assurance, including the feasibility and merits of highly automated separation |
| A4a. Aerodynamic designs and | B4. Improved propulsion | and suppression C6a. Innovative high- | D5. Fault tolerant and | assurance systems |
| flow control schemes to reduce | system fuel economy | temperature metals and | integrated vehicle health | E4. Affordable new sensors, system |
| aircraft and rotor noise | B5. Propulsion systems for | environmental coatings | management systems | technologies, and procedures to |
| A4b. Accuracy of prediction of | short takeoff and vertical lift | C6b. Innovative load | D6. Improved onboard | improve the prediction and |
| aerodynamic performance of | B6a. Variable-cycle | suppression, and vibration | weather systems and tools | measurement of wake turbulence |
| complex 3D configurations, | engines to expand the | and aeromechanical | D7. Advanced | E5. Interfaces that ensure effective |
| including improved boundary layer | operating envelope | stability control | communication, navigation, and | information sharing and coordination |
| transition and turbulence models | B6b. Integrated power and | C8. Structural | surveillance technology | among ground-based and airborne |
| and associated design tools | thermal management systems | innovations for high- | D8. Human-machine | human and machine agents |
| A6. Aerodynamics robust to | B8. Propulsion systems for | speed rotorcraft | integration | E6. Vulnerability analysis as an |
| atmospheric disturbances and | supersonic flight | C9. High-temperature | D9. Synthetic and enhanced | integral element in the architecture |
| adverse weather conditions, | B9. High-reliability, high- | ceramics and coatings | vision systems | design and simulations of the air |
| including icing | performance, and high- | C10. Multifunctional | D10. Safe operation of | transportation system |
| A7a. Aerodynamic | power-density aircraft electric | materials | unmanned air vehicles in the | E7. Adaptive ATM techniques to |
| configurations to leverage | power systems | | national airspace | minimize the impact of weather by |
| advantages of formation flying | B10. Combined-cycle | | | taking better advantage of improved |
| A7b. Accuracy of wake vortex | hypersonic propulsion | | | probabilistic forecasts |
| prediction, and vortex detection | systems with mode transition | | | E8a. Transparent and collaborative |
| and mitigation techniques | | | | decision support systems |
| A9. Aerodynamic performance | | | | E8b. Using operational and |
| for V/STOL and ESTOL, including | | | | maintenance data to assess leading |
| adequate control power | | | | indicators of safety |
| A10. Techniques for | | | | E8c. Interfaces and procedures that |
| reducing/mitigating sonic boom | | | | support human operators in effective |
| through novel aircraft shaping | | | | task and attention management |

^{*}Each challenge is designated by the letter of the area to which is belongs and by its NASA priority ranking in that area. Thus, the R&T Challenge with the highest NASA priority in the Aerodynamics and Aeroacoustics R&T Area is designated A1. Note that two Challenges in that Area tie for fourth place. They are listed alphabetically and are designated A4a and A4b. The next highest priority challenge is designated A6; there is no A5.